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The psychiatric profession purports to be the sole arbiter on the subject of mental health and “diseases” of the mind. The facts, however, demonstrate otherwise:

1. PSYCHIATRIC “DISORDERS” ARE NOT MEDICAL DISEASES. In medicine, strict criteria exist for calling a condition a disease: a predictable group of symptoms and the cause of the symptoms or an understanding of their physiology (function) must be proven and established. Chills and fever are symptoms. Malaria and typhoid are diseases. Diseases are proven to exist by objective evidence and physical tests. Yet, no mental “diseases” have ever been proven to medically exist.

2. PSYCHIATRISTS DEAL EXCLUSIVELY WITH MENTAL “DISORDERS,” NOT PROVEN DISEASES. While mainstream physical medicine treats diseases, psychiatry can only deal with “disorders.” In the absence of a known cause or physiology, a group of symptoms seen in many different patients is called a disorder or syndrome. Harvard Medical School’s Joseph Glenmullen, M.D., says that in psychiatry, “all of its diagnoses are merely syndromes [or disorders], clusters of symptoms presumed to be related, not diseases.” As Dr. Thomas Szasz, Professor of Psychiatry Emeritus, observes, “There is no blood or other biological test to ascertain the presence or absence of a mental illness, as there is for most bodily diseases.”

3. PSYCHIATRY HAS NEVER ESTABLISHED THE CAUSE OF ANY “MENTAL DISORDER.” Leading psychiatric agencies such as the World Psychiatric Association and the U.S. National Institute of Mental Health admit that psychiatrists do not know the causes or cures for any mental disorder or what their “treatments” specifically do to the patient. They have only theories and conflicting opinions about their diagnoses and methods, and are lacking any scientific basis for these. As a past president of the World Psychiatric Association stated, “The time when psychiatrists considered that they could cure the mentally ill is gone. In the future, the mentally ill have to learn to live with their illness.”

4. THE THEORY THAT MENTAL DISORDERS DERIVE FROM A “CHEMICAL IMBALANCE” IN THE BRAIN IS UNPROVEN OPINION, NOT FACT. One prevailing psychiatric theory (key to psychotropic drug sales) is that mental disorders result from a chemical imbalance in the brain. As with its other theories, there is no biological or other evidence to prove this. Representative of a large group of medical and biochemistry experts, Elliot Valenstein, Ph.D., author of Blaming the Brain says: “There are no tests available for assessing the chemical status of a living person’s brain.”

5. THE BRAIN IS NOT THE REAL CAUSE OF LIFE’S PROBLEMS. People do experience problems and upsets in life that may result in mental troubles, sometimes very serious. But to represent that these troubles are caused by incurable “brain diseases” that can only be alleviated with dangerous pills is dishonest, harmful and often deadly. Such drugs are often more potent than a narcotic and capable of driving one to violence or suicide. They mask the real cause of problems in life and debilitate the individual, so denying him or her the opportunity for real recovery and hope for the future.
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Life can sometimes be a real challenge. It can get very rough indeed. A family faced with a seriously disturbed and irrational member can become desperate in their attempts to resolve the crisis.

To whom can they turn when this happens? According to psychiatrists, one should consult them as the mental health experts. But that is a deception, as many have discovered.

Dr. Megan Shields, a practicing family physician for more than 25 years, and an Advisory Board member of the Citizens Commission on Human Rights, warns: “Psychiatrists know nothing about the mind, treat the individual as no more than an organ in the head (the brain) and have about as much interest in spirituality, standard medicine and curing, as an executioner has in saving lives.”

In the film, A Beautiful Mind, Nobel Prize winner John Nash is depicted as relying on psychiatry’s latest breakthrough drugs to prevent a relapse of his “schizophrenia.” This is Hollywood fiction, however, as Nash himself disputes the film’s portrayal of him taking “newer medications.” At the time of his Nobel Prize award, Nash had not taken any psychiatric drugs for 24 years and had recovered naturally from his disturbed state.

This is not to suggest that anyone taking prescribed, psychotropic drugs should immediately dispense with them. Due to their dangerous side effects, no one should stop taking any psychiatric drug without the advice and assistance of a competent non-psychiatric, medical doctor.

We wish to highlight however, that there are solutions to serious mental disturbances that avoid the serious risks and flaws inherent in psychiatry.

Any psychiatrist or psychologist who claims that “serious mental illnesses” are no different than a heart condition, gangrene of the leg or the common cold, is dealing in deception.

As Dr. Thomas Szasz, professor of psychiatry emeritus of the State University of New York, Syracuse, states, “If we are to consider mental disease to be like physical disease, we ought to have biochemical or pathological evidence.” And if an “illness” is to be “scientifically meaningful, it must somehow be capable of being approached, measured or tested in a scientific fashion, as through a blood test or an electroencephalograph [recording of brain electrical activity]. If it cannot be so measured — as is the case [with] … ‘mental illness’ — then the phrase ‘illness’ is at best a metaphor and at worst a myth, and that therefore ‘treating’ these ‘illnesses’ is an equally … unscientific enterprise.”

“In Desperate Need of Help”

“Psychiatrists know nothing about the mind, treat the individual as no more than an organ in the head (the brain) and have about as much interest in spirituality, standard medicine and curing, as an executioner has in saving lives.”

—Dr. Megan Shields, family physician, Advisory Board member of CCHR International
therefore ‘treating’ these ‘illnesses’ is an equally … unscientific enterprise.”¹

In practice, there is abundant evidence that real physical illness, with real pathology, can seriously affect an individual’s mental state and behavior. Psychiatry completely ignores this weight of scientific evidence, preferring to assign all blame to illnesses and supposed “chemical imbalances” in the brain that have never been proven to exist, and limits all practice to brutal treatments that have done nothing but permanently damage the brain and the individual.

Knowing nothing about the mind, the brain, or about the underlying causes of serious mental disturbance, psychiatry still sears the brain with electroshock, tears it with psychosurgery and deadens it with dangerous drugs. Completely ignorant of what they are dealing with, they simply prefer the expedient approach of “throwing a hand grenade into a switchboard to fix it.” It sounds and looks impressive, but in the process destroys a whole lot that’s good, cures nothing but costs billions of taxpayers’ dollars each year.

By destroying parts of the brain, the person is more tractable, but less alive. The original mental disturbance remains in place, just suppressed. This is psychiatry in action in the treatment of disturbed individuals.

The information in this publication is a warning for anyone who may be experiencing serious difficulties in life, or knows of someone who is, and who is looking for answers.

There are alternatives to psychiatric treatment.

Seek out and support them for they can repair and build. They also work. Avoid psychiatry because it only tears apart and destroys. And it never works.

Sincerely,

Jan Eastgate
President, Citizens Commission on Human Rights International
“Schizophrenia” has no physical abnormality and, therefore, is not a disease.

The first patients to be diagnosed with schizophrenia were later found to have been suffering from a virus that caused inflammation of the brain resulting in bizarre behavior.

Neuroleptic (nerve seizing) drugs, used to treat schizophrenia, cause damage to the body’s nervous system and result in permanent impairment and even death.

Treatment studies show much higher success rates in poorer countries (where neuroleptics were used on fewer patients) than in prosperous countries.

Studies show that extreme violence is a documented side effect of both taking psychiatric drugs and withdrawal from them.

IMPORTANT FACTS

1. “Schizophrenia” has no physical abnormality and, therefore, is not a disease.

2. The first patients to be diagnosed with schizophrenia were later found to have been suffering from a virus that caused inflammation of the brain resulting in bizarre behavior.

3. Neuroleptic (nerve seizing) drugs, used to treat schizophrenia, cause damage to the body’s nervous system and result in permanent impairment and even death.

4. Treatment studies show much higher success rates in poorer countries (where neuroleptics were used on fewer patients) than in prosperous countries.

5. Studies show that extreme violence is a documented side effect of both taking psychiatric drugs and withdrawal from them.
Most people consider that psychiatry’s main function is to treat patients with severe, even life-threatening mental conditions. The most pronounced is that condition first called *dementia praecox* by German psychiatrist Emil Kraepelin in the late 1800s, and labeled “schizophrenia” by Swiss psychiatrist Eugen Bleuler in 1908.

Psychiatrist E. Fuller Torrey reported that Kraepelin “put the final medical seal on irrational behavior by naming it and categorizing it. Irrational behavior could now hold its head up in medical company for it had names. … His classificatory system continues to dominate psychiatry up to the present, not because it has proven of value … [but] because it has been the ticket of admission for irrational behavior into medicine.”

However, Robert Whitaker, author of *Mad in America*, says the patients that Kraepelin diagnosed with dementia praecox were actually suffering from a virus, *encephalitis lethargica* (brain inflammation causing lethargy) which was unknown to doctors at the time: “These patients walked oddly and suffered from facial tics, muscle spasms, and sudden bouts of sleepiness. Their pupils reacted sluggishly to light. They also drooled, had difficulty swallowing, were chronically constipated, and were unable to complete willed physical acts.”

Psychiatry never revisited Kraepelin’s material to see that schizophrenia was simply an undiagnosed and untreated physical problem. “Schizophrenia was a concept too vital to the profession’s claim of medical legitimacy. … The physical symptoms of the disease were quietly dropped. … What remained, as the foremost distinguishing features, were the mental symptoms: hallucinations, delusions, and bizarre thoughts,” says Whitaker.

Psychiatrists remain committed to calling “schizophrenia” a mental disease despite, after a century of research, the complete absence of objective proof that it exists as a physical brain abnormality.

**Drug Control**

The neuroleptics (nerve-seizing drugs), also known as antipsychotics, prescribed for so-called “schizophrenia” were first developed by the French to numb the nervous system during surgery. Psychiatrists learned very early on that neuroleptics cause Parkinsonism and symptoms of encephalitis lethargica, the very problem Kraepelin had misidentified and called dementia praecox.

The drugs damage the extrapyramidal system (EPS)—the extensive complex network of nerve fibers that moderates motor control—resulting in muscle rigidity, spasms and various involuntary movements.
The drug-induced side effect tardive dyskinesia (tardive, meaning “late” and dyskinesia meaning, “abnormal movement of muscles”), is a permanent impairment of the power of voluntary movement of the lips, tongue, jaw, fingers, toes and other body parts and has appeared in 5% of patients within one year of neuroleptic treatment.6

Researchers and psychiatrists also knew the risk of “neuroleptic malignant syndrome,” a potentially fatal toxic reaction where patients break into fevers and become confused, agitated and extremely rigid. An estimated 100,000 Americans have died from it.7

To counter negative publicity, articles placed in medical journals regularly exaggerated the benefits of the new drugs and obscured their risks. Whitaker says that in the 1950s, what physicians and the general public learned about new drugs was tailored: “This molding of opinion, of course, played a critical role in the recasting of neuroleptics as safe, antischizophrenic drugs for the mentally ill.”8

However, independent research outcomes were worrisome. In a study over eight years, the World Health Organization found that patients in three economically disadvantaged countries—India, Nigeria and Colombia—“were doing dramatically better than patients in the United States and four other developed countries.” Indeed, after five years, “64% of the patients in the poor countries were asymptomatic and functioning well.” In contrast, only 18% of the patients in the prosperous countries were doing well.9

Western psychiatrists responded by arguing that people in poorer countries simply didn’t have schizophrenia at all. However, a second follow-up study using the same diagnostic criteria reached the same conclusion.10 Whereas only 16% of the patients were maintained on neuroleptics in the poor countries, in prosperous countries, the figure was 61%. Neuroleptics were clearly implicated in the significantly inferior Western result. Western experience also showed that relapse rates were lower for non-drugged patients than drugged patients.11

Not until 1985 did the American Psychiatric Association issue a warning letter to its members, and then only after several highly publicized lawsuits that “found psychiatrists and their institutions negligent for failing to warn patients of the drug-related risk, with damages in one case topping $3 million (€2.4 million).”

The reason for this silence had nothing to do with the practice of medicine. The initial investment in chlorpromazine (a neuroleptic) in 1954

“...In the 1800’s German psychiatrist Emil Kraepelin (left) put the final medical seal on irrational behavior by naming it and categorizing it. ... His classificatory system continues to dominate psychiatry up to the present ... because it has been the ticket of admission for irrational behavior into medicine,” psychiatrist E. Fuller Torrey observed.
was $350,000 (€285,598). By 1970 it was generating revenues of $116 million (€95.6 million) a year.

Increasing public awareness that neuroleptics “frequently caused irreversible brain damage threatened to derail this whole gravy train,” Whitaker says. In response, new “atypical” (not usual; having less effect on the EPS system) drugs for schizophrenia were introduced in the 1990s, promising fewer side effects.

However, the atypicals actually have even more severe effects: blindness, fatal blood clots, heart arrhythmia (irregularity), heat stroke, swollen and leaking breasts, impotence and sexual dysfunction, blood disorders, painful skin rashes, seizures, birth defects and extreme inner-anxiety and restlessness.

One of the atypicals had been tested in the 1960s and found to cause seizures, dense sedation, marked drooling, constipation, urinary incontinence, weight gain, respiratory arrest, heart attack and rare sudden death. When introduced into Europe in the 1970s, the drug was withdrawn because it caused agranulocytosis (a potentially fatal depletion of white blood cells) in up to 2% of patients.12

On May 20, 2003, The New York Times reported that the atypicals may cause diabetes, “in some cases leading to death.” Dr. Joseph Deveaugh-Geiss, a consulting professor of psychiatry at Duke University, said that the diabetes link “is looking a lot like what we saw 25 years ago with [tardive dyskinesia].”13

A study of atypical use in 17 Veteran Affairs hospitals found that one antipsychotic drug cost $3,000 to $9,000 (€2,448 to €7,343) more than the earlier drugs per patient, with no benefit to symptoms, easing of Parkinson’s-like side effects or improvement in overall quality of life.14

The British Medical Control Agency and the Japanese Health Welfare Ministry warn about diabetes risks amongst Zyprexa patients. Eli Lilly, the manufacturer of Zyprexa paid out more than $1 billion to settle claims by 28,500 Zyprexa victims because of the life-threatening risk of diabetes.15

Today, psychiatry clings tenaciously to antipsychotics as the treatment for “schizophrenia,” despite their proven risks and studies which show that when patients stop taking the atypicals, they improve.16

The “schizophrenic” drug market in 1999 was worth a lucrative $5 billion (€4 billion), and by 2005 it had reached $16.2 billion (€13.1 billion). This lower graph above represents U.S., United Kingdom, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan and Spain combined — converted to U.S. dollars.
“Little could the public have suspected that the madman of its nightmares, who kills without warning and for no apparent reason, was not always driven by an evil within but rather by a popular medication.”

— Robert Whitaker, Author, Mad in America: Bad Science, Bad Medicine, and The Enduring Mistreatment of the Mentally Ill

Psychiatrists blame violent crime on a patient’s failure to continue his or her medication, while knowing that extreme violence is a documented side effect of both taking psychiatric drugs and withdrawal from them.

On June 20, 2001, Texas mother and housewife, Andrea Yates, filled the bathtub and drowned her five children, ages 6 months to 7 years. For many years, Mrs. Yates, 37, had struggled through hospitalizations, prescribed psychiatric drugs and suicide attempts. On March 12, 2002, the jury rejected her insanity defense and found her guilty of capital murder.

For the legal profession and the media, the story had been told and the case was closed. For psychiatry, their excuses were predictable: Mrs. Yates suffered from a severe mental illness, which was “treatment resistant” or she was “denied appropriate and quality mental health care.”

Unsatisfied, CCHR Texas obtained independent medical assessments of Mrs. Yates’ medical records. Science consultant Edward G. Ezrailson, Ph.D., studied them and reported that the cocktail of drugs prescribed to Mrs. Yates caused involuntary intoxication. The “overdose” of one antidepressant and “sudden high doses” of another, “worsened her behavior,” he said. This “led to murder.”

Robert Whitaker’s extensive research discovered that antipsychotic drugs temporarily dim psychosis but, over the long run, make patients more biologically prone to it. A second paradoxical effect, one that emerged with the more potent neuroleptics, is a side effect called akathisia (a, without; kathisia, sitting; an inability to keep still). This side effect has been linked to assaultive, violent behavior.
A 1990 study determined that 50% of all fights in a psychiatric ward could be tied to akathisia. Patients described “violent urges to assault anyone near.”

A 1998 British report revealed that at least 5% of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor (SSRI) antidepressant patients suffered “commonly recognized” side effects that include agitation, anxiety and nervousness. Around 5% of the reported side effects include aggression, hallucinations, malaise and depersonalization.

In 1995, nine Australian psychiatrists reported that patients had slashed themselves or become preoccupied with violence while taking SSRI antidepressants. “I didn’t want to die, I just felt like tearing my flesh to pieces,” one patient told psychiatrists.

Withdrawal Effects

In 1996, the National Preferred Medicines Center Inc. in New Zealand, issued a report on “Acute drug withdrawal,” saying that withdrawal from psychoactive drugs can cause 1) rebound effects that exacerbate previous symptoms of a “disease,” and 2) new symptoms unrelated to the condition that had not been previously experienced by the patient.

Dr. John Zajecka reported in the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry that the agitation and irritability experienced by patients withdrawing from one SSRI can cause “aggressiveness and suicidal impulsivity.”

In Lancet, the British medical journal, Dr. Miki Bloch reported that patients became suicidal and homicidal after stopping an antidepressant, with one man having thoughts of harming “his own children.”

On May 25, 2001, Judge Barry O’Keefe of the New South Wales Supreme Court, Australia, blamed an antidepressant for turning a peaceful, law-abiding man, David Hawkins, into a violent killer (of his wife). Had Mr. Hawkins not taken the antidepressant, the judge said, “it is overwhelmingly probable that Mrs. Hawkins would not have been killed.”

In June 2001, a Wyoming jury awarded $8 million (€6.5 million) to the relatives of Donald Schell, who went on a shooting rampage after taking an antidepressant. The jury determined that the drug was 80% responsible for inducing the killing spree.

In 1995, nine Australian psychiatrists reported that patients had slashed themselves or become preoccupied with violence while taking SSRI antidepressants. “I didn’t want to die, I just felt like tearing my flesh to pieces,” one patient told psychiatrists.

David Hawkins
Andrea Yates
Kip Kinkel

TREATMENT LINKED TO VIOLENCE:
1) David Hawkins: a 74 year old with no prior history of violence, killed his wife while on an antidepressant. A judge ruled that the drug was in part responsible.
2) In 2001, Andrea Yates filled the bathtub and drowned her five young children. Medical experts argue that excessive dosages of certain psychiatric drugs induced the murders.
3) Kip Kinkel, 14, killed two and injured 22 after opening fire at his Oregon high school in 1998. He was also taking psychiatric drugs.
### IMPORTANT FACTS

1. Psychiatry’s *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV* (DSM) currently contains 374 disorders whose subjectivity would cause anyone to be labeled “mentally ill” and drugged.

2. Psychiatrists have been unable to establish agreement on what schizophrenia is, only what to call it.

3. “Schizophrenia,” “bipolar,” and all psychiatric labels have only one purpose: to make psychiatry millions in insurance reimbursement, government funds and profits from drug sales.

4. The cornerstone of psychiatry’s disease model today is the concept that a brain-based, chemical imbalance underlies mental disease. As with all of psychiatry’s disease models, this theory has been thoroughly discredited by researchers.

For almost a century, psychiatrists have used the term “schizophrenia” to describe various “irrational” behaviors as “mental diseases” — despite no supporting scientific evidence. Psychiatrists have long disagreed on what constitutes schizophrenia (see excerpt from the 1973 edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* [DSM-II] above) but still employ this lucrative label.
A substitute for mental healing, the American Psychiatric Association (APA) developed the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV* (DSM), a text that lists 374 supposed mental disorders. Its diagnostic criteria are so vague, subjective and expansive that there is possibly not one person alive today who, using this as the standard, would escape being labeled mentally ill. Of course, that makes for a whole lot more mental ill-health business for psychiatrists.

Meanwhile, psychiatrists not only admit that they have no idea of what causes these supposed “diseases,” they have no scientifically validated proof whatsoever that they even exist as discrete physical illnesses.

Professor of Psychiatry Emeritus Thomas Szasz says: “The primary function and goal of the DSM is to lend credibility to the claim that certain behaviors, or more correctly, misbehaviors, are mental disorders and that such disorders are, therefore, medical diseases. Thus, pathological gambling enjoys the same status as myocardial infarction [blood clot in heart artery].”

Patients are betrayed when told their emotional problems are genetically or biologically based. Elliot Valenstein, Ph.D., says that “while patients may be relieved to be told that they have a ‘physical disease,’ they may adopt a passive role in their own recovery, becoming completely dependent on a physical treatment to remedy their condition.”

**Psychiatrists Cannot Define Schizophrenia**

Psychiatrists literally *vote* on what constitutes a mental illness or disorder by raising their hands at a conference. This explains why they cannot scientifically define what they treat. In the *DSM-II*, they state: “Even if it had tried, the [APA] Committee could not establish agreement about what this disorder is; it could only agree on what to call it.”

Allen J. Frances, professor of psychiatry at Duke University Medical Center and Chair of the *DSM-IV* Task Force, admitted: “There could arguably not be a worse term than mental disorder to describe the conditions classified in *DSM-IV*.”

---

“There could arguably not be a worse term than mental disorder to describe the conditions classified in *DSM-IV*.”

– Allen J. Frances, professor of psychiatry at Duke University Medical Center and Chair of the *DSM-IV* Task Force
Aside from schizophrenia, there are numerous other conditions or behaviors that psychiatrists have defined as diseases and through which they make millions of dollars in insurance reimbursement, government funds and profits from drug sales.

**Bipolar Disorder**

Psychiatry makes “unproven claims that depression, bipolar illness, anxiety, alcoholism and a host of other disorders are in fact primarily biologic and probably genetic in origin. … This kind of faith in science and progress is staggering, not to mention naïve and perhaps delusional,” says psychiatrist David Kaiser.

Bipolar Disorder is supposedly characterized by alternating episodes of depression and mania — thus, “two poles” or “bipolar.” In January 2002, the eMedicine Journal reported: “The etiology and pathophysiology (functional changes) of bipolar disorder (BPD) have not been determined, and no objective biological markers exist that correspond definitively with the disease state.” Nor have any genes “been definitively identified” for BPD.

Craig Newnes, psychological therapies director of a Community and Mental Health Service in Shropshire, England, related the story of three psychiatrists who told a feisty grandmother that her grandson had bipolar disorder caused by a “brain-biochemical imbalance.” Quietly, but firmly, she asked what evidence they had that there was something wrong with his brain. They said his mood and behavior indicated a serious problem. She asked how they knew this was caused by brain chemistry. Her grandson was quickly transferred to a unit that offered “talking therapies” instead of drugs. “Imagine the same situation in oncology: you are told that you look like you have cancer, offered no tests, and told you will have two operations, followed by radiotherapy and a course of drugs that makes your hair fall out. The idea is preposterous. … Next time you are told that a psychiatric condition is due to a brain-biochemical imbalance, ask if you can see the test results,” said Newnes.

"First, no biological etiology [cause] has been proven for any psychiatric disorder. ... So don’t accept the myth that we can make an ‘accurate diagnosis.’ … Neither should you believe that your problems are due solely to a ‘chemical imbalance.’"

— Edward Drummond, M.D., author of The Complete Guide to Psychiatric Drugs
Depression

Continuing the fraudulent medical analogy, psychiatrists commonly claim today that depression is also an “illness, just like heart disease or asthma.”

The DSM says that five out of nine criteria must be met to diagnose depression, including deep sadness, apathy, fatigue, agitation, sleep disturbances and appetite change. Even psychiatrists are concerned about such attempts to “make an illness out of what looks to be life’s normal ups and downs.”

The symptoms of depression are subjective emotional states, making the diagnosis extremely vague.

Harvard Medical School’s Joseph Glenmullen says, “…[T]he symptoms [of depression] are subjective emotional states, making the diagnosis extremely vague.”

Dr. Glenmullen says the superficial checklist rating scales used to screen people for depression are “designed to fit hand-in-glove with the effects of drugs, emphasizing the physical symptoms of depression that most respond to antidepressant medication. … While assigning a number to a patient’s depression may look scientific, when one examines the questions asked and the scales used, they are utterly subjective measures based on what the patient reports or a rater’s impressions.”

David Healy, psychiatrist and director of the North Wales Department of Psychological Medicine reports, “There are increasing concerns among the clinical community that not only do neuroscientific developments not reveal anything about the nature of psychiatric disorders but in fact they distract from clinical research. …”

Professor Szasz points out: “If schizophrenia, for example, turns out to have a biochemical cause and cure, schizophrenia would no longer be one of the diseases for which a person would be involuntarily committed. In fact, it would then be treated by neurologists, and psychiatrists would then have no more to do with it than they do with Glioblastoma [malignant tumor], Parkinsonism, and other diseases of the brain.”
"More and more problems have been redefined as ‘disorders’ or ‘illnesses,’ supposedly caused by genetic predispositions and biochemical imbalances. Life events are relegated to mere triggers of an underlying biological time bomb. Feeling very sad has become ‘depressive disorder.’ Worrying too much is ‘anxiety disorder.’ . . . Making lists of behaviors, applying medical-sounding labels to people who engage in them, then using the presence of those behaviors to prove they have the illness in question is scientifically meaningless."\(^{34}\)

— John Read, senior lecturer in psychology at Auckland University, New Zealand

The cornerstone of psychiatry’s disease model today is the concept that a brain-based, chemical imbalance underlies mental disease.\(^{35}\) While popularized by heavy marketing, it is simply wishful psychiatric thinking. As with all of psychiatry’s disease models, it has been thoroughly discredited by researchers.

Dr. Valenstein is unequivocal: “[T]here are no tests available for assessing the chemical status of a living person’s brain.”\(^{36}\) Also, no “biochemical, anatomical, or functional signs have been found that reliably distinguish the brains of mental patients.”\(^{37}\)
Dr. Colbert says, “We know that the chemical imbalance model for mental illness has never been scientifically proven. We also know that all reasonable evidence points instead to the disabling model of psychiatric drug action. Furthermore, we also know that the research on drug effectiveness/efficacy are unreliable because drug tests only measure efficacy based on symptom reduction, not cure.”

Professor Thomas Szasz, stated: “There is no blood or other biological test to ascertain the presence or absence of a mental illness, as there is for most bodily diseases. If such a test were developed (for what, heretofore, had been considered a psychiatric illness), then the condition would cease to be a mental illness and would be classified, instead, as a symptom of a bodily disease.”

In his book, The Complete Guide to Psychiatric Drugs, Edward Drummond, M.D., Associate Medical Director at Seacoast Mental Health Center in Portsmouth, New Hampshire, stated, “First, no biological etiology [cause] has been proven for any psychiatric disorder … in spite of decades of research. ... So don’t accept the myth that we can make an ‘accurate diagnosis.’ … Neither should you believe that your problems are due solely to a ‘chemical imbalance.’”

An article published in May 2004 in the U.S. newspaper The Mercury News warned that brain scans also cannot determine “mental illness”: “Many doctors warn about using the SPECT (single photon emission computed tomography) [brain] imaging as a diagnostic tool, saying it is unethical—and potentially dangerous—for doctors to use SPECT to identify emotional, behavioral and psychiatric problems in a patient. The $2,500 (€2,039) evaluation offers no useful or accurate information, they say.”

Psychiatrist M. Douglas Mar makes it clear: “There is no scientific basis for these claims [of using brain scans for psychiatric diagnosis]. At a minimum, patients should be told that SPECT is highly controversial.”

“An accurate diagnosis based on a scan is simply not possible,” admitted Dr. Michael D. Devous from the Nuclear Medicine Center at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center.

While there has been no shortage of biochemical explanations for psychiatric conditions, Joseph Glenmullen is emphatic: “… [N]ot one has been proven. Quite the contrary. In every instance where such an imbalance was thought to have been found, it was later proven false.”

According to Valenstein, “The theories are held on to not only because there is nothing else to take their place, but also because they are useful in promoting drug treatment.”
Mental health would be the outcome of effective mental healing.

While medical cures exist for physical illness, no psychiatric cures exist for mental disorders.

It is a matter of sound medical fact that undiagnosed physical illness or injury can trigger emotional difficulties.

Several studies show that those diagnosed with "mental illness" were actually suffering from a physical condition.

The true resolution of many mental difficulties begins with a thorough physical examination by a competent medical—not psychiatric—doctor.
John Nash makes it clear that he willed his own recovery. Why invent a fictitious Hollywood ending to his life story when the truth—that he was able to recover from his “demons” without drugs—is much more inspiring?

Psychiatrists promote mental health as being of equal priority to physical health. To continue this analogy, just as physical health would be the outcome of effective physical healing, so would mental health have to be the outcome of effective mental healing.

Consider the following basic criteria for the creation of mental health:

1. Effective mental healing technology and treatments which improve and strengthen individuals and thereby society, by restoring individuals to personal strength, ability, competence, confidence, stability, responsibility and spiritual well-being.

2. Highly trained, ethical practitioners who are committed primarily to the well-being of their patient and patients’ families, and who can and do deliver what they promise.

3. Mental healing delivered in a calm atmosphere characterized by tolerance, safety, security and respect for people’s needs and rights.

From individuals to governments, far too many people assume that this is the nature of mental healing today. The harsh reality, however, is that the analogy between physical and mental healing breaks down when contrasting the results of physical healing to the results of what passes for mental treatment today, under the influence of psychiatry. In simple terms, while medical cures exist, psychiatric ones don’t.

Under the management of psychiatry today, there is no mental healing. Logically this means that psychiatry achieves no improvement in mental health.

It is vital to know that numerous compassionate and workable medical programs for severely disturbed individuals exist that do not rely on psychiatric treatment. Dr. Loren Mosher’s Soteria House project and Dr. Giorgio Antonucci’s program in Italy (covered later in this publication) achieved much greater success than psychiatry’s dehumanization and chronic drugging. These alternative programs also came at a much lower cost. They and a number of other similar programs...
still operating are testimony to the existence of both genuine answers and hope for the seriously troubled.

It is a matter of sound medical fact that undiagnosed physical illness or injury can trigger emotional difficulties. Dr. William Crook, in his book Detecting Your Hidden Allergies, says those bothered by irritability, depression, hyperactivity, fatigue and anxiety need an immediate full medical physical examination and a complete test for food allergies that could cause precisely those mental changes in a person.

One study concluded that 83% of people referred by clinics and social workers for psychiatric treatment had undiagnosed physical illnesses; in another study, 42% of those diagnosed with “psychoses” were later found to be suffering from a medical illness, and in a further study, 48% of those diagnosed by psychiatrists for mental treatment had an undiagnosed physical condition.45

Several diseases closely mimic schizophrenia, fooling both patient and doctor. Dr. A. A. Reid lists 21 such conditions, beginning with an increasingly common one, “the temporary psychosis brought on by amphetamine drugs.” Dr. Reid explains that drug-induced psychosis is complete with delusions of persecution and hallucinations, and “is often indistinguishable from an acute or paranoid schizophrenic illness.”46

“Mrs. J,” diagnosed as schizophrenic after she began hearing voices in her head, had deteriorated to the point where she stopped talking and could not bathe, eat or go to the toilet without help. A thorough physical exam determined she was not properly metabolizing the glucose that the brain needs for energy. Once treated, she dramatically changed. She completely recovered and shows no lingering trace of her former mental state.

In the movie, A Beautiful Mind, about Nobel Prize winner John Nash, the primary reason for his recovery from “schizophrenia” was ignored — his refusal to continue taking psychiatric drugs. Nash (above with his wife at the Nobel ceremony in 1994) hadn’t taken psychiatric drugs in 24 years and recovered naturally.
Fifty-one year old Anne Gates, a mother of five, was prescribed antidepressants for bipolar disorder after experiencing recurrent emotional struggles. She had suicidal thoughts. However, her decelerating menstrual cycle was never medically explored and, as was established with a competent physical examination, she really suffered from menopause and needed estrogen. Hypoglycemia (abnormal decrease in blood sugar), allergies, caffeine sensitivity, thyroid problems, vitamin B deficiencies and excessive copper in the body can also cause manifestations of “bipolar disorder.”

Dr. Thomas Dorman says, “… please remember that the majority of people suffer from organic disease. Clinicians should, first of all, remember emotional stress associated with a chronic illness or a painful condition can alter the patient’s temperament.”

— Thomas Dorman, M.D., Fellow, Royal College of Physicians, United Kingdom and Canada

“[P]lease remember that the majority of people suffer from organic disease. Clinicians should, first of all, remember emotional stress associated with a chronic illness or a painful condition can alter the patient’s temperament.”

In a wish list for mental health reform, Mad in America author Robert Whitaker stated, “At the top of this wish list, though, would be a simple plea for honesty. Stop telling those diagnosed with schizophrenia that they suffer from too much dopamine or serotonin activity and that the drugs put these brain chemicals back into ‘balance.’ That whole spiel is a form of medical fraud, and it is impossible to imagine any other group of patients—ill, say, with cancer or cardiovascular disease—being deceived in this way.”

The true resolution of many mental difficulties begins, not with a checklist of symptoms, but with ensuring that a competent, non-psychiatric physician completes a thorough physical examination.

Treatment that heals should be delivered in a calm atmosphere characterized by tolerance, safety, security and respect for people’s rights. A workable and humane mental health system is what the Citizens Commission on Human Rights is working toward.
Dr. Loren Mosher

The late Dr. Loren Mosher was a Clinical Professor of Psychiatry at the School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego. He was also the former Chief of the U.S. National Institute of Mental Health’s Center for Studies of Schizophrenia. He wrote:

“I opened Soteria House in 1971. … There, young persons diagnosed as having ‘schizophrenia’ lived medication-free with a nonprofessional staff trained to listen to understand them and provide support, safety and validation of their experience. The idea was that schizophrenia can often be overcome with the help of meaningful relationships, rather than with drugs.”

The Soteria project compared their treatment method with “usual” psychiatric hospital drug treatment interventions for persons newly diagnosed as having schizophrenia.

“The experiment worked better than expected. At two years post-admission, Soteria-treated subjects were working at significantly higher occupational levels, were significantly more often living independently or with peers, and had fewer readmissions. Interestingly, clients treated at Soteria who received no neuroleptic medication … or were thought to be destined to have the worst outcomes, actually did the best as compared to hospital and drug-treated control subjects,” Dr. Mosher said.

Dr. Giorgio Antonucci

Dr. Giorgio Antonucci in Italy believes in the value of human life and that communication, not enforced incarceration and inhumane physical treatments, can heal even the most seriously disturbed mind.

In the Institute of Osservanza (Observance) in Imola, Italy, Dr. Antonucci treated dozens of so-called schizophrenic women, most of whom had been continuously strapped to their beds or kept in straightjackets. All “usual” psychiatric treatments were abandoned. Dr. Antonucci released the women from their confinement, spending many, many hours each day talking with them and “penetrating their deliriums and anguish.” He listened to stories of years of desperation and institutional suffering.

He ensured that patients were treated compassionately, with respect, and without the use of drugs. In fact, under his guidance, the ward transformed from the most violent in the facility to its calmest. After a few months, his “dangerous” patients were free, walking quietly in the asylum garden. Eventually they were stable and discharged from the hospital after many had been taught how to work and care for themselves for the first time in their lives.

Dr. Antonucci’s superior results also came at a much lower cost. Such programs constitute permanent testimony to the existence of both genuine answers and hope for the seriously troubled.
Recommendations

1. People in desperate circumstances must be provided proper and effective medical care. Medical, not psychiatric, attention, good nutrition, a healthy, safe environment and activity that promotes confidence will do far more than the brutality of psychiatry’s drug treatments.

2. Mental health homes must be established to replace coercive psychiatric institutions. These must have medical diagnostic equipment, which non-psychiatric medical doctors can use to thoroughly examine and test for all underlying physical problems that may be manifesting as disturbed behavior. Government and private funds should be channeled into this rather than abusive psychiatric institutions and programs that have proven not to work.

3. When faced with incidents of psychiatric assault, fraud, illicit drug selling or other abuse, file a complaint with the police. Send CCHR a copy of your complaint. Once criminal complaints have been filed, they should also be filed with the state regulatory agencies, such as state medical and psychologists’ boards. Such agencies can investigate and revoke or suspend a psychiatrist’s or psychologist’s license to practice. You should also seek legal advice to file a civil suit for compensatory damages.

4. Establish rights for patients and their insurance companies to receive refunds for mental health treatment that did not achieve the promised result or improvement, or which resulted in proven harm to the individual, thereby ensuring that responsibility lies with the individual practitioner and psychiatric facility rather than the government or its agencies.

5. The pernicious influence of psychiatry has wreaked havoc throughout society, especially in the prisons, hospitals and educational systems. Citizens groups and responsible government officials should work together to expose and abolish psychiatry’s hidden manipulation of society.
he Citizens Commission on Human Rights (CCHR) was established in 1969 by the Church of Scientology to investigate and expose psychiatric violations of human rights, and to clean up the field of mental healing. Today, it has more than 250 chapters in over 34 countries. Its board of advisors, called Commissioners, includes doctors, lawyers, educators, artists, business professionals, and civil and human rights representatives.

While it doesn’t provide medical or legal advice, it works closely with and supports medical doctors and medical practice. A key CCHR focus is psychiatry’s fraudulent use of subjective “diagnoses” that lack any scientific or medical merit, but which are used to reap financial benefits in the billions, mostly from the taxpayers or insurance carriers. Based on these false diagnoses, psychiatrists justify and prescribe life-damaging treatments, including mind-altering drugs, which mask a person’s underlying difficulties and prevent his or her recovery.

CCHR’s work aligns with the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in particular the following precepts, which psychiatrists violate on a daily basis:

**Article 3:** Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.

**Article 5:** No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

**Article 7:** All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law.

Through psychiatrists’ false diagnoses, stigmatizing labels, easy-seizure commitment laws, brutal, depersonalizing “treatments,” thousands of individuals are harmed and denied their inherent human rights.

CCHR has inspired and caused many hundreds of reforms by testifying before legislative hearings and conducting public hearings into psychiatric abuse, as well as working with media, law enforcement and public officials the world over.
THE CITIZENS COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

investigates and exposes psychiatric violations of human rights. It works shoulder-to-shoulder with like-minded groups and individuals who share a common purpose to clean up the field of mental health. We shall continue to do so until psychiatry’s abusive and coercive practices cease and human rights and dignity are returned to all.

Dr. Giorgio Antonucci, M.D., Italy:
“Internationally, CCHR is the only group that effectively fights and puts an end to psychiatric abuse.”

Dr. Fred Baughman, Jr., Neurologist:
“I think there are a lot of groups today that are concerned about the influence of psychiatry in the community and in the schools, but no other group has been as effective in trying to expose the fraudulent diagnosing and drugging … as has CCHR. They are certainly a highly effective group and a necessary ally of just about anyone who shares these concerns and is trying to remedy these ills.”

Dr. Julian Whitaker, M.D.,
Director, Whitaker Wellness Institute, California, author of Health & Healing:
“CCHR is the only non-profit organization that is focused on the abuses of psychiatrists and the psychiatric profession. The over-drugging, the labeling, the faulty diagnosis, the lack of scientific protocols, all of the things that no one realizes is going on, CCHR has focused on, has brought to the public’s and government’s attention, and has made headway in stopping the kind of steam-rolling effect of the psychiatric profession.”

For further information:
CCHR International
6616 Sunset Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA, USA 90028
Telephone: (323) 467-4242 • (800) 869-2247 • Fax: (323) 467-3720
www.cchr.org • e-mail: humanrights@cchr.org
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Education is a vital part of any initiative to reverse social decline. CCHR takes this responsibility very seriously. Through the broad dissemination of CCHR’s Internet site, books, newsletters and other publications, more and more patients, families, professionals, lawmakers and countless others are becoming educated on the truth about psychiatry, and that something effective can and should be done about it.

CCHR’s publications—available in 15 languages—show the harmful impact of psychiatry on racism, education, women, justice, drug rehabilitation, morals, the elderly, religion, and many other areas. A list of these includes:

THE REAL CRISIS—In Mental Health Today
Report and recommendations on the lack of science and results within the mental health industry

MASSIVE FRAUD—Psychiatry’s Corrupt Industry
Report and recommendations on a criminal mental health monopoly

PSYCHIATRIC MALPRACTICE—The Subversion of Medicine
Report and recommendations on psychiatry’s destructive impact on health care

INVENTING DISORDERS—For Drug Profits
Report and recommendations on the unscientific fraud perpetrated by psychiatry

SCHIZOPHRENIA—Psychiatry’s For Profit ‘Disease’
Report and recommendations on psychiatric lies and false diagnoses

BRUTAL THERAPIES—Harmful Psychiatric ‘Treatments’
Report and recommendations on the destructive practices of electroshock and psychosurgery

PSYCHIATRIC RAPE—Assaulting Women and Children
Report and recommendations on widespread sex crimes against patients within the mental health system

DEADLY RESTRAINTS—Psychiatry’s ‘Therapeutic’ Assault
Report and recommendations on the violent and dangerous use of restraints in mental health facilities

PSYCHIATRY—Hooking Your World on Drugs
Report and recommendations on psychiatry creating today’s drug crisis

REHAB FRAUD—Psychiatry’s Drug Scam
Report and recommendations on methadone and other disastrous psychiatric drug ‘rehabilitation’ programs

CHILD DRUDDING—Psychiatry Destroying Lives
Report and recommendations on fraudulent psychiatric diagnoses and the enforced drugging of youth

HARMING YOUTH—Screening and Drugs Ruin Young Minds
Report and recommendations on harmful mental health assessments, evaluations and programs within our schools

COMMUNITY RUIN—Psychiatry’s Coercive ‘Care’
Report and recommendations on the failure of community mental health and other coercive psychiatric programs

HARMING ARTISTS—Psychiatry Ruins Creativity
Report and recommendations on psychiatry assaulting the arts

UNHOLY ASSAULT—Psychiatry versus Religion
Report and recommendations on psychiatry’s subversion of religious belief and practice

ERODING JUSTICE—Psychiatry’s Corruption of Law
Report and recommendations on psychiatry subverting the courts and corrective services

ELDERLY ABUSE—Cruel Mental Health Programs
Report and recommendations on psychiatry abusing seniors

BEHIND TERRORISM—Psychiatry Manipulating Minds
Report and recommendations on the role of psychiatry in international terrorism

CREATING RACISM—Psychiatry’s Betrayal
Report and recommendations on psychiatry causing racial conflict and genocide
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WARNING: No one should stop taking any psychiatric drug without the advice and assistance of a competent, non-psychiatric, medical doctor.
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“Stop telling those diagnosed with schizophrenia that they suffer from too much [chemical] activity and that the drugs put these brain chemicals back into ‘balance.’ That whole spiel is a form of medical fraud, and it is impossible to imagine any other group of patients—ill, say, with cancer or cardiovascular disease—being deceived in this way.”

— Robert Whitaker
Author, Mad in America: Bad Science, Bad Medicine, and the Enduring Mistreatment of the Mentally Ill
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